

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/078,372 | Applicant(s) KRAFT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Peter-Anthony Pappas | Art Unit 2671 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen C. Siu.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,8,17,19

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was asked to clarify what "the terminal" referred to. Applicant indicated said terminal was the wireless handheld communication device.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)